

5 a headrest <sup>pivotaly attached</sup> ~~means with means of pivotal attachment~~ with the seatback frame  
 6 ~~means~~ along a pivotal axis generally perpendicular to the fore and aft direction whereby,  
 7 upon a rear [vehicle] impact[,]<sup>a</sup> of the vehicle in which the arrangement is mounted, the  
 8 ~~means of pivotal attachment allows~~ the headrest means [moves] to move in a forward  
 9 direction toward [a] the head of [a] an occupant of the vehicle seat [occupant].

1 <sup>11</sup> 10. (Amended) A method of providing a headrest for a vehicle seat having a  
 2 ~~seat bun~~ <sup>seat back</sup> frame connected to an aft end of a fore and aft seat bun frame ~~means~~, the method  
 3 comprising:  
 4 pivotally attaching a headrest ~~means~~ with a pivotal axis generally  
 5 perpendicular to the fore and aft direction of the seat; and  
 6 upon a rear impact <sup>a</sup> of the vehicle in which the vehicle seat is mounted,  
 7 pivoting the headrest forward ~~and at the same time extending the headrest upward to~~  
 8 contact a head of a seat occupant.

### REMARKS

In the above-noted Office Action, claims 1, 4 and 5 were rejected as being anticipated by Long US 2636552. Additionally, claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 were rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by Carson US 3449012. Claims 10 and 11 were rejected under 35 USC 103 based on the above-noted references. Additionally, claims 1-3, 8 and 9 were rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Herzer et al US 3655241. In addition, in the above-noted telephone interview with the Examiner, Patrick US 3586366 and German patent 2644485 were discussed. Claim 12 was stated to be allowable.

With this amendment, applicants cancel claim 11 and amend claims 1 and 10. Re-examination and reconsideration of the nonallowed claims are respectfully requested.

Claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the headrest arrangement is on the vehicle which is impacted in a rear impact. The amended language utilized is almost identical to that suggested by the Examiner (with only grammatical changes to add clarity) and seeks to address the concerns of the Examiner stated in the Response to the